

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,034	08/20/2003	In-Duk Song	8734.223.00-US	5327
30827 7590 05/16/2007 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			KIM, RICHARD H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			- 05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/644,034	SONG, IN-DUK				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Richard H. Kim	2871				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	7.007.00.00.70.70.70.70.70.70.70.70.70.7					
Period fo			·				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNAMING BY STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNAMING BY	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2007.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-10 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-10 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	, ,	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
·		O 110 001 11100 00 p 100 110 1 100 100 10					
Attachmen	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-4,6, 8-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka et al. (US 2002/0163615 A1) in view of Matsumoto et al. (US 2002/0131003 A1) and Kondo et al. (US 5,737,051).

Referring to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 13, Fujioka et al. discloses a device and method comprising first and second substrates (102, 101) having an array region and a sealant region along a periphery of the array region (103), wherein the array region includes a plurality of pixel regions defined by a plurality of gate line (4) and data lines (8) on the second substrate; and the sealant region includes a plurality a gate pad (6) and data pads (2) at an end of the gate and data lines; a sealant in the sealant region attaching the first and second substrate (103), wherein the sealant (103) is located over the gate and data pads; a single metallic black matrix (105) formed in a sealant region that extends into the array region of the first substrate; a color filter (106-108) on the single metallic black matrix extending into the array region from the sealant region; and a liquid crystal layer (110) between the first and second substrates. However the reference does not disclose an organic layer on the color filter in the array region, the organic layer covering at least a portion of the black matrix to shield an electric field in the array region, wherein the organic layer is formed in the array region and the sealant region and is in direct contact with the

Art Unit: 2871

metallic black matrix and the sealant; and a common electrode and a pixel electrode on the second substrate.

Matusmoto et al. discloses a flattening film (204) on the color filter in the array region, the organic layer covering at least a portion of the metallic black matrix, wherein the organic layer is formed in the array region and the sealant region and is in direct contact with the metallic black matrix and the sealant; and that the common electrode (111) and the pixel electrode (113) are on the second substrate. Kondo et al. discloses organic flattening film on the color filter (col. 10, lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ an organic film on the color filter, wherein the organic layer is formed in the array region and the sealant region and is in direct contact with the metallic black matrix and the sealant since the "organic film can be used with ease as the orientation film simultaneously because there is no need to provide an inclination angle. Hence, it becomes possible to simplify the process and to decrease the cost" (Kondo, col. 10, lines 55-65).

Furthermore, as to the limitation "to shield an electric filed in the array region", it has been held that the claimed limitation is met if the intended use does not require a structural difference.

Matsumoto et al. discloses the claimed structure, therefore the intended use limitation is met.

Moreover, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the common electrode and the pixel electrode to be on the same substrate since in-plane switching LCD's improve features such a viewing angle (Matsumoto et al., paragraph 4).

Art Unit: 2871

Referring to claims 2 and 9, Fujioka et al. discloses that the black matrix is made of Cr (paragraph 103).

3. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka et al. and Kondo et al. in view of Song et al. (US 6,894,753 B2).

Fujioka et al. and Kondo et al. disclose the device previously recited, but fails to disclose that the black matrix extends over at least one thin film transistor in the array region.

Song et al. discloses that the black matrix (700) extends over at least one thin film transistor in the array region.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the black matrix to extend over at least one TFT in the array region since one would be motivated to prevent light leakage between pixels.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. In response to Applicant's argument that Matsumoto fails to teach that "the organic layer is formed in the array region and the sealant region", Examiner respectfully disagrees.

  Matsumoto discloses in paragraph 0167 that the contact hole 206 is formed in the flattening film 204. As shown in Figure 4, the contact hole 206 is formed in the sealant region 12. Since the

Art Unit: 2871

contact hole is formed in the flattening film 204, it follows that the flattening film 204 is formed in the sealant region.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard H. Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-2294. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard H Kim Examiner Art Unit 2871

RHK

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800